

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Clinton Brown,

v.

PLAINTIFF(S)

CASE NUMBER

2:23-cv-02972-MEMF-KS

Emil Assentato,

DEFENDANT(S)

**ORDER ON REQUEST TO PROCEED  
IN FORMA PAUPERIS  
(NON-PRISONER CASE)**

The Court has reviewed the Request to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (the "Request") and the documents submitted with it. On the question of indigency, the Court finds that the party who filed the Request:

- ☒ is not able to pay the filing fees. ☐ is able to pay the filing fees.
- ☐ has not submitted enough information for the Court to tell if the filer is able to pay the filing fees. This is what is missing:

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:**

- ☒ The Request is GRANTED.
- ☐ Ruling on the Request is POSTPONED for 30 days so that the filer may provide additional information.
- ☐ The Request is DENIED because the filer has the ability to pay.
- ☐ As explained in the attached statement, the Request is DENIED because:
- ☐ The District Court lacks ☐ subject matter jurisdiction ☐ removal jurisdiction.
  - ☐ The action is frivolous or malicious.
  - ☐ The action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
  - ☐ The action seeks monetary relief against defendant(s) immune from such relief.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:**


- ☐ Within 30 days of the date of this Order, the filer must do the following:

If the filer does not comply with these instructions within 30 days, this case will be DISMISSED without prejudice.

- ☐ As explained in the attached statement, because it is absolutely clear that the deficiencies in the complaint cannot be cured by amendment, this case is hereby DISMISSED ☐ WITHOUT PREJUDICE ☐ WITH PREJUDICE.
- ☐ This case is REMANDED to state court as explained in the attached statement.

September 20, 2023

Date

  
 United States District Judge